Intellegio · Brief Nº 02616 · intellegio.com

BRC-100 on X: 30-Day Intelligence Brief

Window: 30 Apr 2026 – 27 May 2026 · **Corpus:** 42 tweets

How this was produced

Built with Intellegio by Bridget Doran. Full-archive X data accessed via BSV micropayment through the x402 protocol, paid per-query from a BRC-100 wallet. Synthesis by Claude Opus 4.7. No API subscriptions, no enterprise data contracts, no accounts to provision.

Query:

```
(BRC-100 OR BRC-103 OR BRC-104 OR BRC-29 OR BRC-42 OR "Project Babbage" OR
"Babbage wallet" OR "MetaNet Client" OR "Hodos wallet" OR "type-1 address"
OR
PushDrop OR "BRC-100 payment" OR "BRC-100 wallet" OR "BSV wallet UX" OR
"BSV address bridge") lang:en
```

Parameters: `since: 30d`, `limit: 100`, `sort: likes`, `min_likes: 2`, `exclude_replies: false`, `pages: 1`

A note on scope: Custom-tier production — single-call corpus, hand-tuned synthesis prompt designed around illustration framing rather than the templated six-section structure used in self-serve briefs. `exclude_replies: false` was deliberate, because the BRC-100 conversation lives in reply threads. The low `min_likes: 2` floor surfaces builder voices that do not go viral but carry technical signal. 16 unique handles in the resulting corpus — a small specialist community, fully covered.

Synthesis prompt

> You are producing a one-page intelligence brief illustrating the BRC-100 conversation on X over the past 30 days, based entirely on the attached corpus. Audience: a BSV ecosystem participant — builder, analyst, journalist, or technical investor — who wants to understand what is actually being argued, demonstrated, and pushed in public right now. Smart, busy, familiar with crypto fundamentals but not necessarily deep on BSV-specific protocol detail.
>
> Structure the brief in this order:
>
> 1. **Corpus shape.** How many tweets, what date range, who is talking. Identify the dominant voices by post count and by total engagement. Note the voice profile — protocol designers, wallet builders, ecosystem advocates, users, traders, journalists — and which voices are absent. State plainly what proportion of the corpus is on-topic versus BRC-100-adjacent noise.
>
> 2. **Active threads in the conversation.** Identify the distinct threads of argument running through the corpus. Each thread gets a named heading, a one-paragraph description of

> what is actually being argued, and the specific accounts driving it. Let the corpus tell you the threads — do not force a predetermined structure.
>
> 3. **Notable voices.** Profile the most influential or distinctive accounts. Name the handle, summarize the position, cite the highest-engagement post(s) with like counts. Cover at least the top five by engagement and at least two interesting outliers.
> 4. **Engagement signal.** Which threads and positions are pulling the most attention? Rough engagement totals per thread. Where is the marketing center of gravity? Where is the friction?
> 5. **Adjacent and related debates.** Tangential conversations riding alongside the main thread. One short paragraph each on the ones present in the corpus.
> 6. **Three observations for a builder or analyst.** Practical takeaways. What appears stable versus what is still being figured out. What signals to watch over the next quarter.
>
> Style: short sentences, punchy, no AI-flavored softening. Closed-corpus synthesis: do not introduce facts about BRC-100, specific BSV projects, named accounts, or external events that are not in the corpus. Every named voice, every specific position, every engagement number must be tied to a specific account in the corpus.

1. Corpus shape

42 tweets across 28 days. 16 unique handles. The conversation is dominated by one voice: **@ProjectBabbage** (Babbage | BRC100) with 23 of 42 posts — over half the corpus. Distant second is **@johncalhooon** (2 posts) and **@BSVAssociation** (2 posts). Everyone else: one post each.

Voice profile is heavily protocol- and wallet-builder: Babbage (protocol designer), Calhooon (MPC/x402 infra), BSV Association (standards body), @HodosBrowser (browser wallet), @sgbett_614 (Ruby SDK wallet), @henceforth_app (app integrator), @indelibleBSV (SPV/overlay), @shruggr (Yours wallet / 1sat-sdk), @deggen (educator). Builders talking to builders.

Absent: end users, exchange representatives, institutional investors, regulators, mainstream crypto journalists. Two voices push back from the user/UX side (@shadilayvision, @hbgnostic), but there is no exchange voice and no buyer voice in the corpus.

On-topic ratio: roughly 75% directly engages BRC-100 (interop, wallets, payments, derivation, SPV). The remainder is Babbage commentary on BSV culture, Wright/Ayre history, and "Satoshi cult" framing — adjacent, not core.

2. Active threads

Micropayments and x402. The highest-engagement thread in the corpus. @hbgnostic demonstrates a BRC-100 wallet paying @x402agency $0.006 for data, naming Stripe's $0.30 floor as the comparison (146 likes — the top post). @johncalhooon follows with an x402 reference client. @anil_bharrat ties x402, BRC-100, SPV, and agentic commerce together in a Proof of Claim episode with Calhooon. @ProjectBabbage commits to shipping 402 headers next month with opt-in BSV monetization in Babbage libraries. This is the live commercial demo of the protocol.

MPC signing. @johncalhooon announces a Calhooon Brothers / Binary partnership: CGGMP'24 threshold ECDSA on secp256k1, t+1 signing, BRC-100 compatible, signing nodes discoverable via overlay (58 likes). Single biggest infra announcement in the window. No public counter-position in the corpus.

Stack maturity / dog-fooding. @shruggr is the cleanest statement: "The entire stack is brand new, and needs continued dog-fooding. Yours wallet release is also 1sat-sdk release which is a wrapper around BRC-100, which broadcasts through Arcade to Teranode. It is ALL fresh tooling." @sgbett_614 ships bsv-wallet 0.100.0 labeled "Highly Experimental." @kittytreats reports a first integration working. The tone across builders: shipping but fragile.

Wallet UX and distribution. @shadilayvision delivers the sharpest friction point: "BRC-100 needs to work in vanilla chrome. No browser plugins needed." Follow-up: integrating where users already are is as hard as building the new stack. @HodosBrowser counters by shipping a full Chromium browser with a native Rust wallet, paymail ↔ BRC-100 sends, certificate publish, QR scan. The argument is plugin vs. dedicated browser vs. meet-users-where-they-are.

BRC-100 as anti-capture / standards politics. Babbage frames BRC-100 as "not just a wallet interoperability standard" but an "anti-capture mechanism" for identity, assets, KYC flows, and P2P value (65 likes). Follow-on: BRC-100 "is not designed to ever change or be revised." Standards-body posture. BSV Association reinforces: "BRC-100 is a wallet to app interface standard. Your app talks to the BRC 100 interface and knows what to do."

BSV culture / Wright-Ayre relitigation. Babbage threads on the "collapse of a story," Wright era needing to be "metabolized, not endlessly relitigated," and Ayre's role understood "with the same sobriety." Pitches BRC-100 as the contribution past "personality politics, opaque patronage, and vendor lock-in." Adjacent to protocol but engagement is meaningful (36, 22, 19 likes).

3. Notable voices

@ProjectBabbage — Babbage | BRC100. 23 posts, 478 likes cumulative. Drives the standards narrative, the anti-capture framing, and the cultural reset. Top posts: anti-capture framing of BRC-100 (65), no-loyalty-tests post (51), SPV explainer (45), Wright-era essay (36), x402 monetization commitment (41). Also the dominant replier in the corpus.

@hbgnostic — Bridget. One post, 146 likes — the single highest-engagement tweet in the corpus. Working BRC-100 → x402 payment, $0.006 vs Stripe's $0.30. The corpus's clearest demo artifact.

@johncalhooon — John. Two posts, 61 likes. CGGMP'24 threshold MPC partnership (58 likes) and x402 reference client. Building payment and signing infra in parallel.

@kittytreats — Rae. One post, 61 likes. New-builder voice: meeting went well, OP_RETURN working, next is tokens and BRC-100. Reports a 0.00000026 BSV fee. Unusually high engagement for a junior-builder progress post — the camp clearly rewards visible onboarding.

@deggen — Deggen. Two posts, 43 likes. Educator/illustrator role: "here's an illustration of what goes on under-the-hood when you click that button" (41 likes). Also a BRC-29 message-box payment specificity note.

@BSVAssociation. Two posts, 50 likes combined. Highlights @henryhudson6's Pure-Swift Type42/BRC-42 derivation for Apple platforms (26 likes) and Core SDK / BRC-100 explainer (24 likes). The standards

body voice is present but quiet.

Outlier 1 — @shadilayvision (Crumbs). 9 likes total, but lands the most pointed UX critique in the corpus: vanilla Chrome, no plugins, meet users where they are. Low engagement, high signal.

Outlier 2 — @indelibleBSV (Indelible.One). 4 likes, 107 views. Reports "LAYER 3 BRC-100 IS LIVE END-TO-END" with an SPV node JSON response including gossip_status and an indexer pubkey. Working infra, minimal audience.

4. Engagement signal

Rough thread totals (likes):

- Micropayments / x402: ~270 (hbgnostic 146, Babbage 41 + 17, Calhooon 58 + 3, anil_bharrat 18)
- Babbage standards / anti-capture / culture: ~250 (spread across 10+ posts)
- MPC signing: 58 (single post)
- BSV Association standards explainers: 50
- Stack maturity / new wallet releases: ~70
- Wallet UX / distribution: ~30

Marketing center of gravity for the protocol camp is **Babbage's account** by post volume, but the **single biggest organic hit is hbgnostic's working x402 payment demo**. The pattern: Babbage produces the narrative scaffolding; a third-party working demo outperforms any single Babbage post by ~2x.

Friction: @shadilayvision's vanilla-Chrome critique is the clearest pushback in the corpus, tagging @HodosBrowser, @handcashapp, @ElectrumSV, @rockwallet directly. Babbage's culture/Wright posts draw the highest reply counts relative to likes (3 posts with 3+ replies), suggesting the relitigation thread generates argument, not amplification. Babbage's own acknowledgment of "BSV Internet trolls" (69 likes) is the most-liked defensive post.

5. Adjacent and related debates

BRC-29 inline payment delivery. @deggen surfaces it once specifically: "I want to use BRC-29 and the message box to pay someone." Present but thin.

BRC-42 / Type42 key derivation. @BSVAssociation flags @henryhudson6's Pure-Swift Type42 implementation for Apple platforms. Single post, 26 likes. The address-vs-derivation debate that might be expected is not visible in this corpus.

SPV. @ProjectBabbage's Merkle-proof explainer (45 likes), @indelibleBSV's live SPV node response, and @anil_bharrat's podcast framing all cite SPV as foundational. Treated as settled, not debated.

ARC and Teranode broadcasting. @shruggr names the path: "1sat-sdk release which is a wrapper around BRC-100, which broadcasts through Arcade to Teranode." One mention, but it sketches the full pipe.

Network Access Rules / regulatory framing. @ProjectBabbage: NAR plus Overlay Services "facilitate the kind of regulatory compliance other blockchains claim is impossible." Cites @Bitcoin_Beyond and an ISDA Master Agreement structural analogy. Two posts, low engagement, but the only regulatory content in the corpus.

Agentic commerce. @Kryptokrates pitches "BRC-100 compatible AI agents with one command," discoverable and negotiating. @anil_bharrat's podcast ties agents to x402 and SPV. Early-stage, mostly aspirational.

Hosting / DNS. @ProjectBabbage references "Metanet hosting without an A-record in DNS" from a discussion with Calhooon and Hodos. Mentioned, not developed.

6. Three observations

One. The protocol camp has one working commercial demo and it outperforms every standards-narrative post by 2x. @hbgnostic's $0.006 x402 payment is the artifact the corpus actually rewards. Babbage's commitment to ship 402 headers in libraries next month is the single most concrete near-term deliverable on the calendar. If the June Metanet Meetup (5 June, per Babbage) and the 402 header rollout land in the same window, watch whether the hbgnostic-style demo pattern replicates from other builders or stays a one-off.

Two. Stable: the SDK / SPV / BRC-100 interface contract. @shruggr, @BSVAssociation, and Babbage all describe the interface as fixed by design ("not designed to ever change or be revised"). Unstable: everything wrapping it. Ruby wallet at 0.100.0 experimental, Yours wallet brand-new, Hodos in public beta, HenceForth integrating, Project Access adding it to a roadmap. The architectural decision points sitting open in this window: MPC signing as the default custody model (Calhooon/Binary), distribution surface (dedicated browser vs. plugin vs. vanilla Chrome — unresolved, with @shadilayvision the lone strong vanilla-Chrome voice), and whether x402 becomes the canonical agent payment rail.

Three. Voices to watch: @johncalhooon (MPC network discoverability via overlay is the biggest unannounced-detail post in the corpus), @hbgnostic (whether a second working demo follows), @HodosBrowser (public beta usage data), @sgbett_614 (Database-First wallet backend approach is structurally different from the rest), and @BSVAssociation (currently understated — a standards body posting twice in 30 days is notable in itself). Metrics to track: number of independent BRC-100 wallet implementations actually shipping payments, count of x402-paying clients beyond hbgnostic, and whether any exchange, end-user, or non-BSV-native developer voice enters the conversation. Right now none are present.

Intellegio · intellegio.com